VINYL CATIONS, 22¹⁾

A 1.2-HYDRIDE SHIFT IN THE SOLVOLYSIS OF 3-METHYL-1-BUTEN-2-YL TRIFLATE Klaus-Peter Jäckel and Michael Hanack

Institut fur Organische Chemie der Universität Tubingen, Lehrstuhl fur Organische Chemie II, 74 Tubingen/Germany

(Received in UK 6 October 1975; accepted for publication 20 October 1975)

Recently we reported about the first example of a 1.2-hydride shift during the generation of a vinyl cation, a process well known for trisubstituted carbenium ions²⁾: This was observed during the solvolysis of <u>cis-and trans-3-cyclopropyl-2-propen-2-yl-trifluoromethanesulfonate(triflate)³⁾.</u> The 1.2-hydride shift was found to be a c r o s s the double bond.

As the first example of a 1.2-hydride shift to wards the double bond we report here on the solvolysis of 3-methyl-1-buten-2-yl triflate $(1)^{1}$

The triflate 1 was prepared by the addition of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid to isopropylacety-lene (2) in absolute methylene chloride at -40 to $-50^{\circ}C^{(1)(4)(5)}$, and purified by vacuum transfer. NMR (CCl₄, 60 MHz): τ =4.90 (d, 1H, vinyl proton <u>cis</u> to the triflate group, J = 3.8 Hz); 5.04 (dd, 1H, vinyl proton <u>trans</u> to the triflate group, J = 3.8 Hz, J = 1 Hz); 7.18 - 7.64 (sept., 1H, tertiary proton, J = 6.5 Hz); 8 81 (d, 6H, methyl protons, J = 6.5 Hz).

1 was solvolyzed for 2 d at 80° C in absolute trifluoroethanol (TFE), 80% and 60% aqueous TFE resspectively. All solutions were buffered with 1.1 M pyridine. The solvolysis products $\underline{2-6}$ (see Table) were separated by preparative vpc and identified unequivocally either by NMR, IR and mass spectrometry or by comparison with authentic samples.

Solvolysis products in % of 3-methyl-1-buten-2-yl triflate $(\underline{1})$. $T = 80^{\circ}$ C, buffered with 1.1 M pyridine, reaction time 2 d, R = -0-CH₂-CF₂

Solvent % TFE/Water	CH ₃ H-C-C=CH CH ₃	H ₃ C C=C=CH ₂ H ₃ C	CH ₃ R-C-CH=CH ₂ CH ₃	CH ₃ HC - C=CH ₂ CH ₃ R 5	CH ₃ H-C - C-CH ₃ CH ₃ O 6
100	15.7	8.8	16.8	51.6	7.1
80	9.2	34.3	13.0	29.3	14.2
60	22.8	30.3	6.8	26.3	13.8

The formation of 3-[2.2 2-trifluoroethoxy]-3-methyl-butene-1 $(\underline{4})$ (6.8-16.8%; see Table) can be explained by the intermediate formation of the carbenium ion $\underline{8}$ which is itself formed from the primarily generated vinyl cation $\underline{7}$ by a 1.2-hydride shift towards the double bond (see Scheme). The driving force for the 1.2-hydride shift in the solvolysis of $\underline{1}$ is provided by the formation of the more stable tertiary cation 8. 2-Methyl-3-buten-2-ol, which could also be formed from 8

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{H}_{3}^{\text{C}} \\ \text{H} - \text{C} - \text{C} = \text{CH}_{2} \\ \text{H}_{3}^{\text{C}} \\ \text{OTf} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{TFE or TFE/H}_{2}\text{O}} \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_{3}^{\text{C}} \\ \text{H} - \text{C} - \text{C} = \text{CH}_{2} \\ \text{H}_{3}^{\text{C}} \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{TFE or TFE/H}_{2}\text{O}} \begin{array}{c} \text{H}_{3}^{\text{C}} \\ \text{H}_{3}^{\text{C}} \\ \text{H}_{3}^{\text{C}} \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{C} - \text{CH} = \text{CH}_{2}} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \underline{4} \\ \underline{4} \\ \underline{8} \end{array}$$

was not found. It was shown that this alcohol decomposes under the reaction conditions with the formation of the solvolysis products 2 and 3.

The main solvolysis products of $\underline{1}$ are isopropylacetylene ($\underline{2}$) and 1.1-dimethylallene ($\underline{3}$), both of which could be formed either from the intermediate vinyl cation $\underline{7}$ or by a synchronous β -elimination. A small dependence of the solvolysis rate on the pH-value (cf. k=2.74 $^{\pm}$ 0.06x10⁻⁴s⁻¹, 1.03 M pyridine, k=3.68 $^{\pm}$ 0.19x10⁻⁴s⁻¹, 2.06 M pyridine in 60% aqueous TFE at 80.35°C) suggests the participation of a synchronous β -elimination. A 1.2-methyl migration towards the double bond, such as is found in the solvolysis of 1-tert.butyl vinyltriflate⁴) was not observed in the solvolysis of $\underline{1}$. The secondary carbenium ion which would be formed in such a step would be less stable than the tertiary cation 8.

The solvolysis rates of $\underline{1}$ were measured at 80.35° C in absolute, 80% and 60% aqueous TFE all buffered with 1.03 M pyridine, using a gas chromatographic method: $k=4.25^{+}0.09\times10^{-5}s^{-1}$ (100% TFE), $k=1.47^{+}0.05\times10^{-4}s^{-1}$ (80% TFE), $k=2.74^{+}0.06\times10^{-4}s^{-1}$ (60% TFE). The solvolysis of $\underline{1}$ in 60% aqueous TFE is 6 times, and in 80% aqueous TFE about 3 times faster than in absolute TFE under similar conditions. Since the ionizing power of TFE, in contrast to ethanol⁶⁾, decreases on addition of water, it might be expected that the rate would decrease and not increase for a pure $k_{\rm C}$ -process on going to solutions of higher water content. This again indicates the particle patie on of a synchronous β -elimination reaction.

H₃C A comparison of the solvolysis rate of propene-2-yl-triflate $(\underline{9})^{5}$) with that of $\underline{1}$ in absolute TFE at 80.35°C shows that $\underline{1}$ reacts 6 times faster. In 50% aqueous ethanol $\underline{1}$ reacts 2-3 times faster than $\underline{9}^{5}$). The slightly higher solvolysis rate of $\underline{1}$ indicates a hydrogen participation in the rate determining step.

Financial support of this work by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

Literature

- 1) Vinylcations, 21: K.P.Jäckel and M.Hanack, Liebigs Ann.Chem., in press.
- J.L.Fry and G.J.Karabatsos in Carbonium Ions, Vol.II, G.A.Olah and P.v.R.Schleyer ed., J. Wiley and Sons, New York 1970, p.521.
- 3) K.P.Jäckel and M.Hanack, Tetrahedron Lett., 1974, 1637.
- 4) a) A.G.Martinez, M.Hanack, R.H.Summerville, P.v.R.Schleyer and P.J.Stang, <u>Angew.Chem.</u>, <u>82</u>, 323 (1970); b) M.A.Imhoff, R.H.Summerville, P.v.R.Schleyer, A.G.Martinez, M.Hanack, T.E. Dueber and P.J.Stang, J.Amer.Chem.Soc., 92, 3802 (1970).
- 5) cf. R.H.Summerville, C.A.Senkler, P.v.R.Schleyer, T.E.Dueber and P.J.Stang, <u>J.Amer.Chem.Soc.</u>, 96, 1100 (1974).
- 6) J.M.Harris, D.J.Raber, W.C.Neal jr. and M.D.Dukes, Tetrahedron Lett., 1974, 2331.